

165321

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BARBARA A. DWYER : CIVIL ACTION  
-vs- : NO: 02-CV-4353  
ITEQ - INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGIES, :  
INC. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**COMPLAINT**

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This is an action for declaratory, equitable and injunctive relief and damages, to secure protection of and to redress deprivation of rights secured by Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§2000e et seq.

2. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, and 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 due to claims arising under the laws of the United States.

3. Venue is proper in this district because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district, as required by 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

**PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff is Barbara A. Dwyer, an adult female citizen of the United States, residing at 1415 Grace Road, Swarthmore, PA 19081.

5. Defendant is ITEQ - Integrated Technologies, Inc., a business corporation whose headquarters is 8757 Georgia Avenue, Suite 500, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Defendant regularly conducts business in this district.

6. The defendant was engaged in an industry affecting commerce, and had fifteen or more employees for each working day in each of twenty or more calendar weeks in every calendar year since at least 1999.

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS AND CONDITIONS PRECEDENT:**

7. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination, No. 170A200226, with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) on November 9, 2001, alleging discrimination based on sex and, more specifically, pregnancy. A copy of the said charge is attached as Exhibit 1.

8. On April 5, 2002, the EEOC issued notice of right to sue to the claimant with regard to the charges of discrimination. A true copy of the said notice is attached as Exhibit 2. The

plaintiff has therefore satisfied all required conditions precedent to this action.

**FACTS**

9. Plaintiff became employed by defendant on or about August 25, 1997 as a relocation specialist. As of February 2000, she was earning an annual salary of \$68,250 and fringe benefits including, but not limited to, health insurance and pension benefits.

10. Plaintiff was, at all relevant times, qualified and competent at her employment.

11. Plaintiff performed her job duties in a fashion which met the reasonable standards of the employer at all relevant times.

12. On or about January 23, 2001, the plaintiff informed the management of the defendant that she was pregnant by sending an electronic mail message to Patricia DeAloia, the company president.

13. On or about February 1, 2001, the plaintiff met with her director, Scott Rollison (Rollison) who told her she was to be assigned as project manager for the defendant's expected contract with the National Institute of Testing (NIT).

14. On or about March 1, 2001, Rollison met with the plaintiff in his office, during which time he informed her that NIT would not tolerate an interruption in personnel managing the defendant's project, so Harvey Hannerfeld would be project manager on the NIT project.

15. Rollison then informed the plaintiff that she had the option of being terminated or becoming a part-time on-call employee working for \$50.00 per hour. He represented that there was temporary work available during March and April 2001, on a project for the Internal Revenue Service, and that further work would be available later.

16. The plaintiff worked on a part-time basis in March and April, 2001.

17. Although the plaintiff was available for work, except for a brief period immediately surrounding the birth of her son, the defendant has never offered her further work.

18. The defendant's actions in terminating the plaintiff as a full time employee and, subsequently, entirely terminating her were unlawful discrimination based on her sex and pregnancy.

19. As a direct and proximate result of the said actions, the plaintiff has experienced unemployment; lost income and fringe benefits, aggravation, embarrassment and emotional distress.

**COUNT I: UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION UNDER TITLE VII**

20. The averments of paragraphs 1 - 19 above are incorporated by reference.

21. Defendant's adverse actions against the plaintiff were unlawful discrimination based upon her sex in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-2.

22. The defendant's actions were outrageous, willful, wanton and undertaken with reckless disregard and indifference to the rights of the plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests legal and equitable relief including:

- a. a declaration that defendant's conduct toward her was unlawful;
- b. Reinstatement, or in the alternative, front pay;
- c. back pay;
- d. compensatory and punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$150,000; and
- e. a reasonable attorney's fee and the costs of this action.

LEGG & WILSON

---

WILLIAM T. WILSON, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiff